

BLM – Barstow Field Office

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1 – Data period should be the most recent complete 12 month period for which accurate data may be obtained.
- #4 – Cost of OHV Program is significantly different from last year. The Division has processed payment requests for prior years' cooperative agreements funded during period the 10/2008 – 09/2009. Reference document should be for the Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year.
- #7c – The narrative does not support response with regard to "Education programs, maps and/or brochures....". Applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #8b – The narrative does not support response with regard to the number of "formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc." The applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #8d – The narrative does not support response with regard to the 'times per year' in which the Land Manager offers "ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are provided to the public".
- #12a – Explanation should reference the current project only.

Development - El Mirage

G09-01-04-D02

Project Description

- No comment.

Project Cost Estimate
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unit of Measure (UOM) missing from several line items. • Contracts – “Other-Picnic Tables, BBQ & fire ring del” may be more appropriate under the Materials / Supplies category. • Materials / Supplies – For items “Other-Design, Print Training Materials” and “Other-Logs for Training Course”, applicant may want to clarify/provide further detail how these items will be utilized in this project.
Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #4 – The applicant may want to clarify the plan identified also supports the need for the development of a technical course. • #6 – The narrative does not support response with regard to “local building materials” that will be utilized in the project. • #9 and #10 – The applicant may want to identify the acronym “SCTA”.

Ground Operations - Barstow Field Office	G09-01-04-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A – Restoration activities are not applicable for a Ground Operations Project type (i.e., “patrolling and monitoring” and “restore traces of inappropriate routes”). Applicant may want to verify and adjust as necessary. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost items associated with restoration activities may not be included in a Ground Operations project. Applicant may want to verify and adjust as necessary. • Unit of Measure (UOM) missing from several line items. • Staff – Applicant may want to identify the acronym “NPLD” • Staff – Applicant may want to provide more details regarding activities volunteers will be performing in this project. • Staff – Applicant may want to clarify/provide more detail regarding how “Other-Contract Representative”, “Other-time keeper”, “Other-OHV Planner”, “Other-OHV Planner”, and “Other-program manager” will be utilized in this project. These cost items may be more appropriate under Indirect Costs. • Materials / Supplies – Items listed in “Other-Uniforms, maps, supplies, training, mi” appear unrelated to each other and should be itemized. • Equipment Purchases – Unit of Measure (UOM) missing from line items. • Equipment Purchases – Applicant may want to clarify and provide more details how “Other-dual sport dirt bike” will be utilized in activities performed in this Ground Operations project. • Equipment Purchases – “Other-trail maintenance equipment” is not mentioned in the project description. Applicant may want to provide more details regarding the equipment and how it will be utilized in this project. 	

Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – The narrative does not support response “Loss of Opportunity” as it is not related to this project. The applicant may want to clarify the explanation for this item. • #6 – The narrative does not support response. Applicant may want to provide additional details. • #8 – The response and explanation should be associated with this project. 	

Ground Operations - Dumont Dunes	G09-01-04-G02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unit of Measure (UOM) missing from several line items. • Staff – Applicant may want to clarify the Note for “Other-Park Ranger” which indicates “Equivalent 2 full time Park Rangers, non-LE.”. The Qty of 7,422 hours appears to be more than 2 full time staff. • Staff – Applicant may want to clarify/provide more detail regarding how “Other-Contract Representative”, “Other-Site Manager”, “Command Post Staff”, “Other-Time Keeper”, and “Other-ent” will be utilized in this project. These cost items may be more appropriate under Indirect Costs. • Materials / Supplies – Applicant may want to provide more detail how “Propane for Portable Heaters” and “Other-uniforms” will be utilized in this project. • Equipment Use Expense – Applicant may want to clarify “Qty” and “UOM” for the “Water Truck”. Ground Operations projects are a 12 month project. • Equipment Use Expense – The cost item “Sand Rail” does not appear to be directly related to the activities identified in the project description. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Applicant may want to consider checking “Loss of OHV Opportunity” and include an explanation. • #6 – The applicant indicated the project does not “involve rerouting of any roads and trails” and would not be able to check this item. Applicant may want to clarify the explanations provided are relative to this project. • #8 – The response and explanation should be associated with this project. 	

Law Enforcement	G09-01-04-L01
Needs Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	

Law Enforcement Certification
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment.
Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment.

Education & Safety	G09-01-04-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A – Activities such as “maintain the route network” are not applicable under an Education & Safety project type. Applicant may want to verify and adjust as necessary. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cost items associated with “maintain the route network” may not be included in an Education & Safety project. Staff – The cost item “Other-Management” appears excessive for the activities identified in the project description. This cost item may be more appropriate under Indirect Costs. Staff – The cost item “Other-Education Director” appears excessive for the activities identified in the project description. Staff – Applicant may want to provide more details regarding the “Volunteer Planning Committee” (e.g., How many volunteers are included in this committee? Is this committee solely for planning education & safety activities related to OHV?). Materials / Supplies – Unit of Measure (UOM) missing from several line items. Equipment Use Expenses – Applicant may want to clarify “Qty” and “UOM” for the “Vehicle Operations and Maintenance”. Ground Operations projects are a 12 month project. Equipment Use Expenses – Applicant may want to provide details associated with the cost item “Other-Trailer Use”. Equipment Use Expenses – The cost item “Other-Multimedia Equipment Use” appears excessive. It appears the applicant will have access to use/borrow the equipment from LA County without incurring a fee. If so, this item would not be eligible as a match. If the equipment is being donated, it would be eligible as a match and the amount would be based on the fair-market rate/value. Equipment Purchases – Applicant may want to clarify the purpose of the “Other-Rough Terrain Vehicle” and that this vehicle will be used solely for this project. Others – The cost items “Other-Building Use DDC” and “Other-Building Use EI Mirage” appear to be a use fee for buildings owned by the applicant and are not eligible costs. 	

Evaluation Criteria
#7 – The checked item “Plan to implement the Project” does not appear to be supported in the explanation provided.